



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,203 09/24/2003		09/24/2003	Hideki Kanie	A-9924	4124
181	7590	07/07/2005		EXAMINER	
		KBRIDGE PC	LAVINDER, JACK W		
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE SUITE 500				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833				3677	<del>_</del>
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/668,203	KANIE, HIDEKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jack W. Lavinder	3677	

	Jack W. Lavinder	3677						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the shortened stan	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a bria	f will not be entered	hossuss					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the proposed amendment of the proposed			because					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:		•						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by								
the applicant argues that '310 fails to disclose levers ro shank (1) rotating from a first position in figure 2 to a so shank during rotation. Furthermore, the applicant is are structure of the article is capable of performing the proc	econd position in figure 3, wherein quing a process limitation in an art	the levers remain out icle claim. Therefore	wardly of the , as long as the					
Also, nothing in the claim defines what the outward rota	ation is or how the lever moves rela	tive to the shank. Th						
"the arms rotate together with the levers outwardly of the	e shank." '310 clearly shows this	process limitation						

12. 
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

**Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 

Jack W Lavinder
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3677

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 2

5.000 -